SENATE RULES COMMITTEE	SB 1457	
Office of Senate Floor Analyses		
1020 N Street, Suite 524		
(916) 445-6614	Fax: (916)	
327-4478		

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Bill No: SB 1457 Author: Murray (D) Amended: 8/5/04 Vote: 21

SENATE BUSINESS & PROFESSIONS COMMITTEE : 4-1, 4/12/04

AYES: Figueroa, Cedillo, Machado, Murray

NOES: Brulte

NO VOTE RECORDED: Aanestad, Vincent

SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE : Senate Rule 28.8

SENATE FLOOR : 22-5, 4/29/04

AYES: Alarcon, Alpert, Bowen, Burton, Chesbro, Ducheny, Dunn, Escutia, Figueroa, Florez, Kuehl, Machado, Murray, Ortiz, Perata, Romero, Scott, Sher, Speier, Torlakson, Vasconcellos, Vincent

NOES: Ashburn, Brulte, Denham, McClintock, Morrow NO VOTE RECORDED: Aanestad, Ackerman, Battin, Cedillo, Hollingsworth, Johnson, Karnette, Knight, Margett, McPherson, Oller, Poochigian, Soto

\_ASSEMBLY FLOOR\_ : Not available

SUBJECT : Commercial e-mail advertisements

\_SOURCE\_ : Author

 $\underline{\mbox{DIGEST}}$  : This bill modifies recently enacted state law banning e-mail spam to conform to recently enacted federal law.

CONTINUED

SB 1457

Page

\_

<u>Assembly amendments</u>: (1) delete provisions that would have prohibited anyone from collecting e-mail addresses or registering multiple e-mail addresses for purposes of the initiation or advertisement in an unsolicited commercial e-mail advertisement sent from California or to a California e-mail address; (2) delete the word "obscured" from existing law pertaining to commercial e-mails; and, (3) modify the penalty provisions.

ANALYSIS: This bill creates a "stand-alone" code section for falsified e-mails, including penalties, to avoid confusion as to what parts of existing state law are preempted by federal law and what parts remain viable. The penalties, which mirror those previously enacted in a related code section, allow the e-mail service provider, the Attorney General, or the recipient of an unsolicited commercial email advertisement to bring an action to recover damages of \$1,000 for each unsolicited e-mail (up to \$1 million per incident). The court is also authorized to award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to a prevailing plaintiff in violation of this section.

## <u>Comments</u>

Background and Purpose. SB 186 (Murray), Chapter 487/Statutes of 2003, completely banned e-mail spam in California. To enforce this ban, SB 186 created a private right of action whereby a consumer or an internet service provider (ISP) could sue spammers and recover damages. Within months of its passage, SB 186 was preempted by a federal bill (S. 877), which allows for spam as long as various conditions are met. These conditions include offering the ability to opt-out, a valid e-mail address contact, and disclosure of the name and location of the spam sender. Although this federal measure preempted California's complete prohibition of spam, it did not preempt the private right of action consumers and ISPs have against those who send spam with misleading or falsified headers and information, as well as the advertisers of those products.

SB 1457 is intended to merely provide clean-up language and ensure such private right of action is not in conflict with

SB 1457 Page

3

federal law.

 $\underline{\text{FISCAL EFFECT}}$  : Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: Yes Local: No

According to the Assembly Appropriations Committee, negligible fiscal impact.

CP:nl 8/18/04 Senate Floor Analyses

SUPPORT/OPPOSITION: NONE RECEIVED

\*\*\*\* END \*\*\*\*