1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco 08/31/2018 Clerk of the Court BY:BOWMAN LIU Doputy Clork ID (INLIMITED JURISDICTION) (UNLIMITED JURISDICTION) (Case No.: CGC-16-555317 ) (PROPOSED] ) THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES ) 1. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA RESTRICTIONS ON UNSOLICITED COMMERCIAL E-MAIL (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17529.5) 2. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA RESTRICTIONS ON UNSOLICITED COMMERCIAL E-MAIL (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17529.5) 2. VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA RESTRICTIONS ON UNSOLICITED COMMERCIAL E-MAIL (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17529.5)
~1	1 DED COMPLAINT

1	EDGE BIOACTIVES, a business of unknown )
2	formation; ) FAIRLIGHT SCIENTIFIC, LLC a Nevada )
3	limited liability company; )
4	BESTMAILER, a business of unknown ) formation, )
5	FLYLEAF NEWS, a business of unknown )
6	formation, and ) DOES 1-1,000; )
7	)
8	Defendants.
9	COME NOW PLAINTIFFS JAY FINK, et al. and file this Third Amended Complaint for one
10	cause of action against Defendants CLICKSYNDICATE.COM, LLC et al and allege as follows:
11	I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF THE COMPLAINT
12	Plaintiffs bring this Action against professional spammers CLICKSYNDICATE.COM,
13	LLC ("CLICK"), EDGE BIOACTIVES ("EDGE"), ALTARE PUBLISHIING, Inc.
14	("ALTARE") and FAIRLIGHT SCIENTIFIC, LLC ("FAIRLIGHT"), and DOES 1-100
15	(collectively "CLICK DEFENDANTS"), and their third party marketing partners, for advertising
16	in and sending 1,189 unlawful unsolicited commercial emails ("spams") to Plaintiffs. A
17	representative sample appears on Exhibit 1.
18	1. Plaintiffs neither gave direct consent to receive commercial email advertisements from,
19	nor had a preexisting or current business relationship with, the entities advertised in the spams.
20	2. The spams all materially violated California Business & Professions Code § 17529.5
21	("Section 17529.5") due to: a) materially false and deceptive information contained in or
22	accompanying the email headers (i.e. From Name, Sender Email Address, and Subject Line),
23	and/or b) Subject Lines misleading relative to the contents of the emails.
24	3. CLICK DEFENDANTS are strictly liable for advertising in spams sent by their third
25	party Marketing Partners.
26	4. Spam recipients are not required to allege or prove reliance or actual damages to have
27	standing. See Bus. & Prof. Code § 17529.5(b)(1)(A)(iii). Plaintiffs elect to recover statutory
28	damages only and forego recovery of any actual damages. See Bus. & Prof. Code
29	§ 17529.5(b)(1)(B).
30	5. This Court should award liquidated damages of \$1,000 per email as provided by
31	Section 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(ii), and not consider any reduction in damages, because CLICK

DEFENDANTS and their Marketing Partners failed to implement reasonably effective systems 1 2 to prevent advertising in unlawful spams. The unlawful elements of these spams represent 3 willful acts of falsity and deception, rather than clerical errors. 4 6. This Court should award Plaintiffs their attorneys' fees pursuant to Section 5 17529.5(b)(1)(C). See also Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, providing for attorneys fees when private parties bear the costs of litigation that confers a benefit on a large class of persons; here, 6 7 by reducing the amount of false and deceptive spam received by California residents. 8 9 10 II. PARTIES 11 A. Plaintiffs 7. JAY FINK ("FINK") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of California, when he 12 received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to FINK's email address 13 jaystheone44@yahoo.com that he ordinarily accesses from California. 14 15 8. RYAN ABEL ("ABEL") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of California in the 16 County of San Francisco, when he received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to ABEL's email address roncaptain 1 @vahoo.com that he ordinarily accesses from California. 17 18 9. GINA ANDERSON ("ANDERSON") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of California, when she received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to 19 20ANDERSON's email address miasweet84@gmail.com that she ordinarily accesses from California. 21 10. CAMERON BABICH ("BABICH") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of 22 23 California, when she received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to ANDERSON's email address babich@gmail.com that she ordinarily accesses from California. 24 MOLLY BERLIN ("BERLIN") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of California, 25 11. 26 when she received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to BERLIN's email address 27 molly.berlin94@gmail.com that she ordinarily accesses from California. 28 12. ROBERT CHATFIELD ("CHATFIELD") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of 29 California, when he received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to CHATFIELD's 30 email address bnbchat@yahoo.com that he ordinarily accesses from California. 31

GAERAEL DEBISE ("DEBISE") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of 1 13. 2 California, when he received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to DEBISE's 3 email address gaeraeldebise@yahoo.com that he ordinarily accesses from California. 4 14. MASON FARRELL ("FARRELL") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of 5 California, when he received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to BERLIN's 6 email address masebruh@gmail.com that he ordinarily accesses from California. 7 15. DAVID GREENBERG ("GREENBERG, D.") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State 8 of California, when he received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to 9 GREENBERG, D.'s email address that he ordinarily accesses from California. 10 16. WILLIAM KEOUGH ("KEOUGH") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of 11 California, when he received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to KEOUGH's 12 email address hoopnwill@yahoo.com that he ordinarily accesses from California. 13 17. JUNG KIM ("KIM") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of California, when he 14 received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to KIM's email address 15 soloydiver@yahoo.com that he ordinarily accesses from California. 16 18. DORIAN MEDINA ("MEDINA") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of 17 California, when he received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to MEDINA's 18 email address fresh n clean10@yahoo.com that he ordinarily accesses from California. 19. 19 ANDREW MONROE ("MONROE") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of 20California, when he received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to MONROE's 21 email address ndrw monroe@yahoo.com that he ordinarily accesses from California. 22 20. NICK OLIVERES ("OLIVERES") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of 23 California, when he received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to OLIVERES' 24 email address nicklas.oliveres@yahoo.com that he ordinarily accesses from California. 25 21. ANGELA NEILSON ("NEILSON") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of 26 California, when she received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to NEILSON's 27 email address novelid@yahoo.com that she ordinarily accesses from California. 28 22. JERRY PHILLIPS ("PHILLIPS") was domiciled in and a citizen of the State of 29 California, when he received the spams at issue. The spams at issue were sent to PHILLIPS' 30 email address jephilli2288@gmail.com that he ordinarily accesses from California. 31

## 1 B. Defendants

2

1. Advertiser Defendants

3 23. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendant

4 CLICKSYNDICATE.COM, LLC ("CLICK") is now, and was at all relevant times, a Delaware
5 limited liability company with a principal place of business in New Jersey.

6 24. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendant EDGE

BIOACTIVES ("EDGE") is a business of unknown formation with a principal place of business
in New Jersey.

9 25. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendant FAIRLIGHT

10 SCIENTIFIC, LLC ("FAIRLIGHT") is now, and was at all relevant times, a Nevada limited

11 liability company with a principal place of business in New Jersey. Plaintiffs are informed and

12 || believe that FAIRLIGHT owns many of the websites and domain names advertised in the spams

13 at issue including, but not limited to: alphalevoenergy.com, boostmydrivevideo.com,

14 peruvianbrew.com, sexdriveboost.com, sexdrivetrickvideo.com, and malehealthinfo.com.

15 26. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendant ALTARE

PUBLISHING, INC. ("ALTARE") is now, and was at all relevant times, a New Jersey limited
liability company with a principal place of business in New Jersey. Plaintiffs are informed and
believe that ALTARE owns many of the websites and domain names advertised in the spams at
issue including, but not limited to: geterectondemand.com, makeherapproachyou.com, yourhealth-1st.com, and curemylimpdick.com.

21 27. Plaintiffs do not know the true names or legal capacities of the Defendants designated
22 herein as DOES 1-100 and therefore sue said Defendants under the fictitious name of "DOE."
23 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that DOES 1-100 own many of the
24 websites and domain names advertised in the spams at issue including, but not limited to:
25 3simplequestions.com, jajd.gdn, and 4inches4weeks.com.

26

2. Marketing Partner Defendants

28. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that the CLICK DEFENDANTS
entered into various contracts ("Marketing Partner Contracts") with third-party spam networks
and publishers ("Marketing Partners"). Pursuant to the terms of the Marketing Partner Contracts,
the CLICK DEFENDANTS and each respective Marketing Partner agreed to share in the
benefits and risks derived from email advertising campaigns advertising the CLICK

DEFENDANTS' websites and the Marketing Partners' services. Plaintiffs further allege, on
 information and belief, that pursuant to the terms of the Marketing Partner Contracts, the
 Marketing Partner Defendants used their own lists of email addresses (as opposed to lists
 provided by the CLICK DEFENDANTS) as the source of intended recipients for the spams.
 Plaintiffs further allege, on information and belief, that, in some cases, the Marketing Partners
 (as opposed to the CLICK DEFENDANTS) created the unlawful content in the emails, such as
 the From Names, Subject Lines, and sending email addresses.

8 29. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendant BESTMAILER
9 ("BEST") is now, and was at all relevant times, a business of unknown formation, doing business
10 as mailerbest.com, with a principal place of business in La Palma, California. Plaintiffs allege
11 that BEST advertised in at least one of the emails at issue.

30. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendant FLYLEAF NEWS
("FLYLEAF"), is now and at all relevant times, a business of unknown formation, doing
business as flyleafnews.com, with a principal place of business in Costa Mesa, California.
Plaintiffs allege that FLYLEAF advertised in at least one of the emails at issue.

16 31. Plaintiffs do not know the true names or legal capacities of the Defendants designated
17 herein as DOES 101 through 1,000, inclusive, and therefore sue said Defendants under the
18 fictitious name of "DOE." Plaintiffs allege that certain Defendant(s) designated herein as DOES
19 registered the following domain names, which were used to send the spams at issue, in a manner
20 so as to prevent email recipients from discovery those DOE Defendants' true identities:

21 accountservicesnow.club, adnover.com, adviajar.com, akxqkokbcagw.com, almamzer.com,

22 ampatens.com, amsofter.com, ancield.com, apatens.com, aphawk.com, atomicleaksswizzling.net,

23 averasy.com, avnqxzvtyjl.com, ballstalltall.com, baryell.com, bed-news.com, be-inaffaires.com,

24 || beliefmindlessshelf.com, bentold.com, bestideas4u.com, bestxsales.com, bfoumuqxnn.com,

25 || bhyvssrayky.com, bigdoubtfulharbor.com, blimpridyquik28.com, blimpridyquik64.com,

26 || blockingmainframesdinkest.com, bnhrpqktrwf.com, bnhrpqktrwf.com,

27 bomaris.com,bricsco.com, browol.com, buhoodle.com, busloca.com, cadenid.com, cakrado.com,

- 28 callbatt.com, carecnapanr.com, cebsrnjxbadh.com, changeniti.org, cogizu.com,
- 29 colorfastrun97.com, confirmedteam.club, cpnasuokzo.com, craavampline24.com,
- 30 cremedelemoni15.com, cremedelemoni37.com, creomederi.date, ddaitrdots.com,
- 31 dddzemfufhyizqg.com, designtwosavr88.com, drollyz.date, dvulbsiquroq.com, ecnkhahljy.com,

exonmuvewsvsnivlc.com, evrnphkencfvces.com, fashionistolike114.com, 1 2 faultyrobusterblock.com, fnisbubwddhceysj.com, fphuzkpcfhi.com, fqmnkpndcmtduy.com, 3 friendsnaptmt59.com, frozesagepeons.net, fuzzyapprovalrain.com, fykaaboriain.com, 4 gasesrootfragile.com, gdhhddvdsoh.com, genuinelust.com, gftgrthouseestabbag.com, 5 glefelse.com, gomband.net, grandioserighteousthread.com, granikk.com, 6 groundchokechemist.com, guctnaxtvi.com, happilycanonicaltentacle.com, highterg.com, 7 hfxuoqrkyvnf.com, hiqdycpgrlvip.com, hogsdrainsfry.net, hotlinkcheerfullyspin.net, 8 hqwnewiklpqlxdn.com, huhpxlmwbrpzag.com, iavvqwsbjwi.com, icldgixvwrrysvp.com, 9 idlrrokazcmtc.com, ihonorimage57.com, iilomad.com, iivbvcfdfhdtd.com, impredo.com, 10 inboxhard.com, inboxsweet.com, infinitememesbeamed.com, infinitypuntsidecar.com, 11 iqlapginkz.com, jumiuemkuznfju.com, jahjjdunjcsvlx.com, jaydayhaybay.com, jcameytrnb.com, 12 inbmitaexnlqvaxu.com, inbvctyrtdgc.com, jonnyshanblanflan.com, iwelldelllllltellhell.com, 13 jxgcdrctwgauscs.com, keltfeltbelt.com, ketchoah.com, laystayjaybay.com, lbbphmmztthgp.com, 14 lifeknifeblife.com, limpingcoosister.com, lknhwquhbmxqxxi.com, lmerppediederpsmerp.com, 15 lobeside124.com, maindepend87.com, matcachan.com, mbtfkimdli.com, mccluane.com, 16 mcflcgwnmz.com, meltfeltbelt.com, mlnftivmfthij.com, moardoor.com, mukjyzgeexus.com, 17 musichardwiredspangle.com, nafuvxrgoz.com, ncbnqmgafw.com, ndzgetwcxl.com, net.com, 18 nnramrbbja.com, npbiveukvxygseaj.com, obmennik.ws, octrat.com, ofrggogzcblxjnyq.com, 19 ohdontyaknow.com, ooceedso.com, pallstalltall.com, patchingworkaroundsoftics.com, 20 pathtextsdie.com, payoutburner.net, peekbrokenphase.net, picforces47.com, 21 pkzlwqmaisjjsw.com, plastiqruntime18.com, plastiqruntime45.com, pnhpyhehkrizmhyd.com, 22 policehelper.com, primetimelimedime.com, profficult.com, pxhusgynavustsz.com, 23 gemmelxvtac.com, relaxfinder.net, runbunfonday.com, rutterbugere.net, rzhilzixdg.com, 24 saseknbhgtyaw.com, scribblequantifierswaldos.org, scribblesmunchingtawling.org, 25 sebankro.com, securellssslx15.com, sehmnsrdwgy.com, sentbentsent.com, sign-news.com, 26 slurpedtoasterspush.net, smurfscompacterblocking.com, sniffstentacleblinked.com, 27 sopopsat.com, sppuydnjauzw.com, ssxlpjjhzemrty.com, swelldellmelltellhell.com, tellersort.com, 28 tensestcretinouszorching.com, tfmhooupaxz.com, thirstyaddictedsolid.com, 29 threadsvirusesforum.club, thudscreencripplewares.com, tisuwyhbmmmh.com, tivisiduff.com, 30 tmsanizkgjzdpg.com, tnslurnrdrrlykj.com, tousell.com, trollmangedwalk.net, 31 tuntransplink124.com, tweaksdingsspeedometers.com, udatastoress1123.com,

udatastoress170.com, unasthmatic.date, uniqueiceclaim.com, urewoowlldg.com, 1 2 ussaofpyigoaeivb.com, vdilxwgqudnzgro.com, verifiedsupport.club, vvccgkrhzs.com, 3 warmrushreflect.com, wentbentlent.com, wheelchainingmeg.com, 4 wombleswigglesesuploaded.com, wrilgqmqyhv.com, 5 wrsoonbnadasugov.com,wysmbljcaojdu.com, xalfiyhdljd.com, x-dealdaily.com, xdeals4u.net, xdigest.com, xeheptlimtpn.com, xfreshnews.com, xnewsfeed.com, x-newsletter.com, x-rest.com, 6 7 xuncyauubr.com, xwquditvhwbfh.com, xxvenus.com, xxxjoys.com, xzkkfbeniwlajdfv.com, 8 ym0-9xq-t5g.com, yourella.com, yourxnews.com, ytheerge.com, zlctqwstbchdge.com, 9 fxgesfjzgi.com, fyxaueryzusfs.com, hbfrpasahyplunmx.com, ktgwdpbubztkx.com, 10 lmzsatupefquceep.com, nfhzcdumtshtr.com, nghlwrbwjv.com, nmmwvspexmp.com, 11 ritedogmarketing.com, switcherss.com, wakibi.nl, wzbdptatwlsoxn.com, ycntumgduw.com, 12 zbrogprjcz.com, fre-game.org, 2fdownloads.com, 2healthinsurance.org, 2startlife.net, 13 abilityofworking.com, accozizilt.com, aljclvdyxrho.com. ancbdtcmjy.com, apathybooks.com, 14 archusion.com, arinasandresort.com, arizonatimez.com, associationsmemorandum.com, 15 bcltqcyrqt.com, bcxookmbka.com, beautifulnight.net, beautyanywhere.net, beautycod.org, 16 berpiivvnp.com, bestdealsworlds.com, bestsgamess.com, bestuniversitiesin.com, 17 bestwaytoachieveabs.com, betterast.net, bewlrngzsphhv.com, biocculo.net, biovitamin.org, 18 biqzwijwlhzjt.com, bitonnect.com, blackberrycakes.com, bliver.org, blooddiamondz.com, 19 bnalphirdzyvhxz.com, bodyactiveagility.com, bookbytitle.com, books-for-u.com, 20 booksgeneration.com, borrebi.com, bpmlhnglmoxo.com, braintorm.net, bratrix.net, 21 breaktherulez.xyz, britefirmarketing.com, bsuvfmhyjtwyjc.com, buhktshakvqss.com, 22 buildurstyle.com, busineinder.net, bvixahubzkrrkaca.com, bwxwhaltbmnj.com, 23 callforfreetoday.com, cardinallcareers.com, cardinalogistics.com, cftaqgdwnlgcjbxc.com, 24 cgilavqpbwdabg.com, change-moud.com, charityeverywhere.com, charityforanimals.com, 25 chocodeco.org, christiansholidays.com, cicwubefvyvmsay.com, ciibainsurance.com, 26 ciissoiwqvbghu.com, cleanprettymind.com, clothingselegance.com, codassurance.org, 27 codlife.net, collectandgoo.com, colorspassion.com, competitiveway.net, comulsudroack.com, 28 coolnquietutility.com, coolwaterbluemoment.com, criispycream.com, ctobpsrhhnkz.com. 29 cuwndstodqiusrnq.com, cwmjpysacvczpinz.com, cwzonaiwqjme.com, cycjlvqmwloervhn.com, 30 date.ac, daxsdjopezatgx.com, deals17.net, delicioussummer.com, dereistic.org, dhnrjjrouk.com, 31 dhsofhsyqfyz.com, directrk.net, diyhahhtxmgte.com, dkbetgdgvlzvjnlq.com, dlkjvoporjxgj.com,

dmzlqqimbnjvmahw.com, dngichzljw.com, dnlbhijwvrez.com, doctorsdrama.com, 1 2 dogvkabixbx.com, dpeplvymcddbeigz.com, dreamsland17.com, dshbkkenqpav.com, 3 dublechoice.com, ebtuonfwcftplx.com, edu.se, educationopinternational.com, 4 eiwutbnmtgsakkwm.com, ejvchfsniwzzt.com, elcielopacto.com, eovifrkfck.com, 5 eqiuytffukrc.com, esfvsnepgxblq.com, estamejor.net, esxktwfdyskcb.com, eubeautys.com, 6 evaluatevourcarprice.com, eventy.net, exmgynwpisxh.com, explore18.club, falcterprise.net, 7 famillyholidays.com, fbhuppdwnpcaxiw.com, fdupptxvduqoj.com, feelinggoodgosport.com, 8 fenixzone.net, fgswdwihgmttp.com, fhbayngjmpmeh.com, fhgupszgblbj.com, findsource.org, 9 fitnessbodyboys.com, fiveyear.net, forgotpinline.com, foubexkcysm.com, fprkisxlpkt.com, 10 freesedeals.com, fre-game.org, freshtokens.com, ftygdwhsttw.com, funtastic2017.org, 11 fvzcazngkdmvov.com, fxniqsmsgsfrbi.com, fyerhtrxhmjcmqvs.com, fzzeksuxjfgk.com, 12 gamingblood.club, gardenration.com, gbvptxlqpk.com, gemqukjwadvohxb.com, 13 generalless.com, gentil-girls.com, ghqopudvoqqjgx.com, giftsforalloccasionz.com, 14 gihfptufmsd.com, glorydaystour.com, gloamswyartaark.com, goldenotice.com, goodhabiits.com, 15 goodhealthz.com, good-results.org, gqhcvtbxixd.com, grand-parrent.com, greatasyouare.xyz, 16 greatideasforteachin.com, green.let-go.org, grnvhazfnksfeftq.com, gsotvvyuhjh.com, 17 gtbqwbmeai.com, gvshqektndoqrj.com, gwxhtjwggsge.com, gygfwmsvhean.com, haematic.net, 18 hankie.org, happinessiscraziness.com, happinessmoment.com, happywomendays.com, 19 hastavidafi.com, hcnlootkxlhutco.com, hcartforgivenes.com, hehynedeygjhad.com, 20 hellodecembersurpriseme.com, helpfory.com, helpmetofindmyself.com, helpotherzfirst.com, 21 hemicknes.com, hfnvamjproeiqmw.com, hgmltpshtnpyhqiz.com, hhxvdmyudmd.com, 22 highereducationjobz.com, hight-deals.com, hipift.com, hitstion.net, hkadvoogow.com, 23 honestankind.com, hopeforthebestt.com, hopyholidays.com, horizonblackhole.com, 24 howmanywordz.com, hsefauvojjgpo.com, human70.com, hunmbwbdcunujamd.com, 25 hwihmtpctqfbe.com, hzjienbwmxf.com, idlkrubgyhziss.com, iewnhsprxyyzfnu.com, 26 ihhpaqwsufs.com, ijgrhvtmbyvw.com, imahkkghufszinw.com, informaticdevelopement.com, 27 innovativetechnologiez.com, intershop17.org, iopxlicewcrxgprr.com, iowiwbgzhjbfck.com, 28 iphpxjutlzcqzjx.com, iqeonylyutjzlc.com, irlygjdvqxu.com,iwytkdxiqmihodl.com, 29 iyssjedxwrpg.com, jajncrihwiteoir.com, jakmpsmavck.com, jbzapoumuupf.com, 30 jgqzsxrlmitcl.com, jkpcsbmwgb.com, jokingwithfriends.com, jpbamhhkbktsz.com, 31 ircekmpomtsew.com, irhqdriypc.com, jwpljieapcbq.com, kaqwvdklsgkpsp.com,

kcoirtcuberkve.com, kguphktfnwagob.com, kidzvillagevernon.com, kisiprlcmkmygc.com, 1 2 kmdgrldxknxbdy.com, kmlcteisebji.com, krugxoeeicgir.com, kseukkwrxaefkk.com, 3 kyhebiylpgpx.com, kxpgnibspyl.com, largeuniversities.com, last-mount.com, 4 learnhowtosavee.com, letslearnvocalization.com, lexndhxiesssmay.com, lifeglobalization.com, 5 liferequirementss.com, lionspower.net, lockleosge.com, lookize.com, loveseafestivals.com, 6 lptxdkouobr.com, lqmtpcwseikt.com, lrghgqktpthbw.com, lspiwzenerdwwyvi.com, 7 lssmtvhfpogzmcp.com, lsxytxshvutc.com, lxfdhmvknyfe.com, magikwater.net, magikwater.net, 8 mail.com, makademiaspacy.com, makedadhappy.com, makemumhappy.com, 9 marketingdigital.com, marketingsmartsolution.com, marketino11.com, marketino12.com, 10 marketino13.com, marketino14.com, md6odlire, menmic.net, merchaever.com, metrodeal.com, 11 metrodeal.com, metrvers.com, mgewlrwvdmgshgq.com, michlifine.com, micreannes.net, 12 mikhi.org, mpvwsobbpsspam.com, mqvqkagcam.com, msbqowsusxxwveqo.com, 13 mtutunbqmoqwazyz.com, my-birthday.net, myreallifeinsurrance.com, n.nu, 14 narniadisneyworld.com, ncwqrfbijfqoirrh.com, neoxose.net, netstartolo.com, newfreeparty.com, 15 newgiftnow.com, newhugeopportunity.com, newjob17.org, newpowerfulhealth.com, 16 newsandtricks.com, nextsino.net, nfjphcannbepsqu.com, niceflowerz.com, 17 nkofmzbjvdyzbjvg.com, nngojvklirhl.com, notboundaries.com, noticeinfo.net, 18 npxuhcvxyjykhtgw.com, nrtlugegfh.com, ntbqztivotjuge.com, numhlfaryqpv.com, 19 nursingdegre.com, nxmwsnhxlew.com, occupationservices.com, ochfkwmyfp.com, 20 offerscompanymedia.com, ofphdlifzvqcppqi.com, ofrdngorfilewtsz.com, ojvlpxjxjqmef.com, 21 okgddqxgczmzzdn.com, okrnoqqldvftmhw.com, onlvecord.com, ooaxwnpldirik.com, 22 oosuixuprica.com, oowbkgofsi.com, oozwkkjgpyg.com, osvdajcatrtae.com, oumi-girl.com, 23 ourcityofheroes.com, owdqjvhwxsgn.com, oxlbjuwverkc.com, oxlftreklyoakgp.com, 24 oyqzzlgzsj.com, ozfddpfjaseekip.com, paooeojudddt.com, passiingmoments.com, 25 passingmomentsphotography.com, pbmhhvwwivnqlro.com, pbqjvojixjs.com, pcstmsgndw.com, 26 peerz2peerz.com, penseget.com, perfectshop17.org, pfdqcsjafefxjn.com, 27 pgafjzhfuappxkhl.com, picturesworldmap.com, pihuleafikfbn.com, playtargetapps.com, 28 plphtcxqminlnvjc.com, point.my-birthday.net, power-code.net, premium-deal.com, 29 pretty2017.net, prettycatz.com, privatecompanyjob.com, projecttobusiness.com, proproces.com, 30 pumpkinpierecipehere.com, pumpnwkznjw.com, pusubmnoxsb.com, pzmdbjkjxc.com, 31 qaslpwxfipbp.com, qcpkltdnzhteyni.com, qhdhqkcuvv.com, qieucgddmvurtzz.com,

aihefbdcndcfkimd.com, apnchfncxkwsavl.com, gqqdguupboudete.com, atnpedpgtqowvpif.com, 1 2 gyvivrimop.com, gwsxhnhpkjwo.com, raiseabird.com, ranspatronizing.net, reachoutforme.com, 3 reclawgoflc.com, repaeglzvdha.com, restojob.ru, riaopqutgl.com, rlvhshhzubhnrrl.com, 4 mrqbcgytius.com, rockingpro.com, roots18.com, rsilujvuxaso.com, rudesheimer.org, 5 rukwalfhhe.com, rutdrmyyygygme.com, sakuratreemagic.com, same-aptitude.com, 6 samuraishodownanthology.com, satisfactionz.com, savepatience.com, sbsrkysatra.com, 7 scrabblewordz.com, secater.com, secret-power.org, sfztaklutewwb.com, 8 shiningonthedarkness.com, shinningsky.com, shopclinical.net, shortweekendsbreaks.com, 9 signofthemoment.com, simplpplies.net, smilource.net, sogephdsalif.com, sordidselection.com, 10 specialdealsforyou.net, speed-man.org, sriocjuitndvo.com, ssociationsmemorandum.com, 11 stillpeace.net, store-world.org, strawberryshortcakez.com, summerbanksholiday.com, 12 svdizovapjkljb.com, svpzbavmmqq.com, sweetchocolats.com, sweetmommymaternity.com, 13 sweetsuccessfruit.com, switcherss.org, syfbtlklhzrpt.com, system-out.com, 14 takehappyphotos.com, tblzubzsylngptod.com, teachingdegreeoptions.com, terrvices.com, 15 tewlikhcmb.com, texdveoftjrnb.com, thehappymovies.com, thenewgardian.com, 16 therealdreams.com, thesunofsilence.com, thousandyears.net, thousandyears.net, thropal.com, 17 thxzebixawxap.com, tigeifghdmml.com, tiimeinlondon.com, tjmrhxddrgpby.com, 18 tjzksgssluikapr.com, tkbwgvjnbtowgcl.com, tomorrowlanddreamville.com, 19 topmarketplayed.com, topoffersformen.com, topsnursingschool.com, toptenplayers.com, 20 topvipoffers4you.com, totaldealz.net, tow-years.com, transpatronizing.net, trickplus.org, 21 tricktowin.org, trytochanges.com, tursecjgihy.com, tvifuryicyt.com, txaihehuxfcmtj.com, 22 tzwlxvqgzt.com, uabyxxpatafbnj.com, ubatbyvomylqu.com, uncom-fortable.com, under-line.org, 23 underqueen.org, unionsleadership.com, uniqueparentsquotes.com, universaltrading.xyz, 24 uqlatijcucupj.com, uylqsuypmk.com, vaeofhfexnbk.com, valentinedance.com, 25 vbacuidlwrhknd.com, vexrgiegpoano.com, vfnlfhgwab.com, vgdimgzkzqcjsnt.com, 26 vgljqxfqhwuck.com, vgrypjxnadniew.com, vhssttwfitvfm.com, vienvenidoa.com, 27 vipoffersforyou.com, vjxvuknypyc.com, vktcdmelzlh.com, qamzfmzofappbgy.com, 28 vvhxcoghsik.com, vxdbzdglmtsgxnjz.com, wakibi.nl, weedingsrequirements.com, 29 wemnimazqxjwsak.com, wenolxbqslmipnf.com, widely.gentil-girls.com, win-life.org, 30 womenagility.com, worksatisfactions.com, wxcugymuthyv.com, wxvccxllzvpprf.com, 31 wzvouchagg.com, xafyayskm.com, xajnjgaztqk.com, xdtmqsrmztqh.com, xfidrptrtfa.com,

xlmargkramfd.com, xsazgjsdsnmvggll.com, xwdbdsfvatohzo.com, xzeniihhtdp.com, 1 2 xzytzeoqvyqsguf.com, ycekdsjppevein.com, ycgepmhysyenwm.com, yehsqtjeymqqxrq.com, 3 vgifephrgxxaifm.com, vgjvdlrqgade.com, vglvipwjnyfvtumf.com, vgoatosllggmji.com, 4 vhdklkpcqgzhgoxt.com, yhrybvjraqfzd.com, yihgzppydeo.com, ylmhhaqbvvcaa.com, 5 vmxloeapuh.com, yourelectronicmail.com, vpkcsswdrxsezebn.com, yqwcuwypkjhetr.com, vaxavwsaelz.com, vtamluaouiizkb.com, vtpwddbmtg.com, zlalsuahee.com, 6 7 zmhwbsrurjmieaz.com, zrjwcnrvrgy.com, zumhjbtboj.com, zvcjlexlgasywtp.com, and 8 zwaeplbggiiq.com

9 32. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the matters alleged in this 10 complaint, and is legally responsible in some manner for causing the injuries and damages of 11 which Plaintiff complains. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the 12 13 Defendants designated herein as a DOE Defendant was, at all times relevant to the matters 14 alleged within this complaint, acting in conjunction with the named Defendants, whether as a 15 director, officer, employee, affiliate, customer, participant, partner or co-conspirator. When the 16 identities of DOE Defendants 1-1,000 are discovered, or otherwise made available, Plaintiffs will 17 seek to amend this Complaint to allege their identity and involvement with particularity.

33. Defendants' joinder in this Action is proper pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 379
because Plaintiffs seek relief jointly and severally from Defendants arising form the same series
of transactions and occurrences, and because common questions of law and fact as to Defendants
will arise in the Action. The fact that all Defendants may not be implicated in all spams does not
bar joinder: "It is not necessary that each defendant be interested as to every cause of action or as
to all relief prayed for. Judgment may be given against one or more defendants according to
their respective liabilities." Code Civ. Proc. § 379.

25

## 26

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

### 27 A. Jurisdiction is Proper in a California Superior Court

28 34. This California Superior Court has jurisdiction over the Action because Plaintiffs are
29 located in California, and the amount in controversy is more than \$25,000.

- 30
- 31

### 12

The subscription of the su	
	B. <u>Venue is Proper in San Francisco County</u>
	35. Venue is proper in San Francisco County (or indeed, <i>any</i> county in California of
	Plaintiff's choosing) because CLICK and EDGE are foreign corporations that have not
	designated the location and address of a principal office in California or registered to do business
	in California with the California Secretary of State. See Easton v. Superior Court of San Diego
	(Schneider Bros. Inc.), 12 Cal. App. 3d 243, 246 (4th Dist. 1970). Also, Plaintiff ABEL was a
	resident of San Francisco when the spams at issue in this lawsuit were received.
	IV. <u>1,189 UNLAWFUL SPAMS</u>
	36. Plaintiffs allege that Defendants engaged in tortious conduct: "wrongful act[s] other than
	a breach of contract for which relief may be obtained in the form of damages or an injunction."
	See Merriam-Webster, www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/tort (last viewed Nov. 5, 2013).
	37. California's False Advertising Law, Business & Professions Code § 17500
	prohibits "not only advertising which is false, but also advertising which[,]
	although true, is either actually misleading or which has a capacity, likelihood or tendency to deceive or confuse the public."[T]he UCL and the false advertising law prohibit deceptive advertising even if it is not actually false.
	<i>Chapman v. Skype Inc.</i> , 220 Cal. App. 4th 217, 226-27 (2d Dist. 2013) (citation omitted).
	A. The Emails at Issue are "Spams"
	38. The emails at issue are "commercial email advertisements" <sup>1</sup> because they were initiated
	for the purpose of advertising and promoting DEFENDANTS' products and services.
	39. The emails are "unsolicited commercial email advertisements" <sup>2</sup> because Plaintiffs did not
	give "direct consent" <sup>3</sup> to, and did not have a "preexisting or current business relationship" <sup>4</sup> with
	any Defendant.
	<sup>1</sup> "Commercial e-mail advertisement' means any electronic mail message initiated for the purpose of advertising or promoting the lease, sale, rental, gift offer, or other disposition of any
	property, goods, services, or extension of credit." Bus. & Prof. Code § 17529.1(c).
	<sup>2</sup> "Unsolicited commercial e-mail advertisement' means a commercial e-mail advertisement sent
	to a recipient who meets both of the following criteria: (1) The recipient has not provided direct
	consent to receive advertisements from the advertiser. (2) The recipient does not have a preexisting or current business relationship, as defined in subdivision ( <i>l</i> ), with the advertiser promoting the lease, sale, rental, gift offer, or other disposition of any property, goods, services, or extension of credit." Bus. & Prof. Code § 17529.1(o).
	13

40. Plaintiffs did not consent or acquiesce to receive the spams at issue. Plaintiffs did not
 waive or release any rights or claims related to the spams at issue.

41. Defendants advertised in, sent, and/or conspired to send at least 1,189 unlawful spams

4 that Plaintiffs received at their "California email address[es]"<sup>5</sup> As shown below:

3

5	PLAINTI	FF	SPAMS	PLAINTIFF	SPAMS	
6			RECEIVED		RECEIVED	
	ABEL		10	FINK	83	
7	CHATFIE		119	KEOGH	26	
8	NEILSON		66	KIM	22	
9	BABICH		92	ANDERSON	105	
	DEBISE		<u>81</u> 97	BERLIN	31	
10	GREENBI MONROE		30	FARRELL MEDINA	21 229	
11	PHILLIPS		101	OLIVERES	76	
12	THELITS		101	TOTAL	1,189	
	L			TOTAL	1,109	
13 14	42. The spams	are all unla	wful because there	is materially false an	nd deceptive information	ation
15	contained in or acc	companying	the email headers a	as described in more	detail below.	
1	B. Spams With C	<u>Generic or </u>	<u>False From Names</u>	Misrepresent Wha	<u>is Advertising in t</u>	<u>he</u>
16	Spams and Vi	iolate Busin	ess & Professions	<u>Code § 17529.5(a)(</u>	<u>2)</u>	
17	43. Section 17.	529.5(a)(2)	prohibits falsified o	r misrepresented inf	ormation contained	in or
18	accompanying em	ail headers.				
19						
20						
21						<b>.</b>
22						
23	<sup>3</sup> "Direct consent"	' means that	the recipient has ex	pressly consented to	o receive e-mail	
24	advertisements fro	m the adver	tiser, either in respo	onse to a clear and c	onspicuous request f	
1	consent or at the re	ecipient's ov	vn initiative." Bus.	& Prof. Code § 175	29.1(d) (emphasis ad	dded).
25	<sup>4</sup> "'Preexisting or o	current busin	ness relationship,' a	s used in connection	with the sending of	fa
26	commercial e-mail	l advertisem	ent, means that the	recipient has made a	in inquiry and has pi	rovided
27			* 1		nsaction, with or wit . []" Bus. & Prof. C	
28	§ 17529.1( <i>l</i> ).					
29	5				<b>.</b>	
30					y an electronic mail dress to a mailing ad	
31	in this state; 2) An	e-mail addr	ess ordinarily acces		r located in this state	
	and man address	i annonea (			coue 5 17529.1(0).	
			14			
			THIRD AMENDE	D COMPLAINT		

1	44. The From Name field is part of email headers. The From Name does <i>not</i> include the				
2	Sender Email Address. So, for example, if an email's From Line says: "John Doe				
3	<pre><johndoe@yahoo.com>", the From Name is just "John Doe."</johndoe@yahoo.com></pre>				
4	4 45. The From Name in an email's headers is, not surprisingly, supposed to identify	who the			
5	5 email is <i>from</i> ; it is not supposed to be an advertising message. Because computers mu	st use			
6	6 standard protocols in order to communicate, the Internet Engineering Task Force creat	ed a			
7	7 collection of "Requests for Comment" ("RFCs") that define the rules that enable email	l to work.			
8	According to RFC 5322 at ¶ 3.6.2 (emphasis in original):				
9 10 11	mailbox(es) of the person(s) or system(s) responsible for the writing of the message In all cases, the "From:" field SHOULD NOT contain				
12	<sup>2</sup> 46. Plaintiffs do not insist on any <i>particular</i> label (e.g., "Clicksyndicate" "Fairligh	t			
13					
14					
15	5 47. The From Name is important to an email user, because in almost all email prog	rams, the			
16	<sup>6</sup> inbox view only displays a list of emails, showing the From Name, Subject Line, and S	Send Date.			
17	Therefore, even <i>if</i> the body of the email identifies the advertiser, the recipient will not	know that			
18	<sup>8</sup> until s/he has already clicked to open the				
19	I Ciliali. Select Criteria Used by US internet Users to	Decide			
20	48. Indeed, empirical evidence has "Junk" Button without Opening the Actual P December 2006 (% of respondents)	" or Aessage,			
21	demonstrated that the From Name is the	73%			
22	most important factor email recipients use "Subject" line	69%			
23	to determine whether of not an email is compuserve users				
24	span. See ewarkerer, E-Man Open Rates	March 2007 Marketer.com			
25	Ininge on Subject Line, available at http://www.einarketer.com/Attrice/E-Man-Open	-Rates-			
26	<sup>5</sup> Hinge-on-Subject-Line/1005550 (Oct. 31, 2007). Thus, a From Name that misrepresent	nts who a			
27	span is non is not a mere technical error, ramer, it is a material misrepresentation of	he most			
28	In montant part of the email neader.				
29	49. Annough Flammins do not sue under the rederal CAN-SPAM Act, Flammins no	te that the			
30	rederal frade Commission has also identified the riom same as the first field in mission	ading			
31	header information in its guide to CAN-SPAM compliance when it stated				
	15				

1 2 3	1. Don't use false or misleading header information. Your "From," "To," "Reply-To," and routing information – including the originating domain name and email address – must be accurate and identify the person or business who initiated the message.
4	Federal Trade Commission, CAN-SPAM ACT: A COMPLIANCE GUIDE FOR BUSINESS, available
5	at http://www.business.ftc.gov/documents/bus61-can-spam-act-compliance-guide-business
6	(emphasis added).
7	50. In Balsam v. Trancos Inc., the unlawful spams were sent from generic From Names that
8	did not <i>identify</i> anyone. The trial court ruled, and the court of appeal affirmed in all respects,
9	that generic From Names violate the statute because they misrepresent who the emails are from:
10	The seven [] emails do not truly reveal who sent the email The [
11	] "senders" identified in the headers of the [] seven emails do not exist or are otherwise misrepresented, namely Paid Survey, Your Business,
12	Christian Dating, Your Promotion, Bank Wire Transfer Available, Dating
13	Generic, and Join Elite Thus the sender information ("from") is misrepresented.
14	203 Cal. App. 4th 1083, 1088, 1090-91, 1093 (1st Dist. 2012), petition for review denied, 2012
15	Cal. LEXIS 4979 (Cal. May 23, 2012), petition for certiori denied, 2012 U.S. LEXIS 8423 (U.S.
16	Oct. 29, 2012), petition for rehearing denied, 2013 U.S. LEXIS 243 (U.S. Jan. 7, 2013). More
17	specifically, <i>Balsam</i> confirmed that generic From Names that "do not exist or are otherwise
18	misrepresented when they do not represent any real company and cannot be readily traced back
19	to the true owner/sender" violate the statute. <i>Id.</i> at 1093. The Court affirmed the award of
20	\$1,000 liquidated damages for the seven emails with misrepresented information in the From
21	Name field, even though most of the spams identified the advertiser in the body. <i>Id.</i> at 1091,
22	1093. Therefore, truthful information in the body of a spam does not cure misrepresented
23	information contained in or accompanying the headers.
24	51. Almost all of the spams that Plaintiffs received advertising Defendant's websites show
25	generic text in the From Name field that misrepresents who the spams are from, e.g. "Big
26	Enhancements," "Genuine Lust," "ED Help," and "Warning." These generic From Names could
27	just as easily refer to Defendant's competitors.
28	52. These From Names, like those in <i>Balsam</i> , misrepresent <i>who</i> was advertising in the spams,
29	and therefore violate Section 17529.5(a)(2).
30	
31	

## Third Amended Complaint

Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendants knowingly choose
 to advertise using generic From Names and fake names precisely so the recipients will *not* know
 who the emails were really from when viewing the spams in the inbox view. This forces
 recipients to open the emails to see if the emails might actually be from someone with whom the
 recipient has had dealings, or if the emails are in fact, as is the case here, nothing but spams from
 a for-profit company.

7 54. In Rosolowski v. Guthy-Renker LLC, the court permitted From Names that were not the 8 sender's official corporate name as long as the identify of the sender was readily ascertainable in 9 the body. 230 Cal. App. 4th 1403, 1407, 1416 (2d Dist. 2014). However, the From Names in 10 that case (Proactiv and Wen Hair Care) were the advertiser's fanciful trademarks and wellknown brands with their own websites. But here, unlike the spams in *Rosolowski*, almost of the 11 12 From Names are generic or, on information and belief, names of non-existent entities; they are 13 not well-known trademarks and/or brands readily associated with Defendants. There is no way 14 an ordinary consumer, looking at the emails in his/her inbox, could readily associate them with 15 Defendants.

16 55. Moreover, in all or almost all of the spams at issue, neither the sender nor the advertiser
17 is readily ascertainable in the body of the spams, so *Balsam* would control, not *Rosolowski*.

C. <u>Spams Sent From Domain Names Registered So As To Not Be Readily Traceable to the</u> <u>Sender Violate Business & Professions Code § 17529.5(a)(2)</u>

Section 17529.5(a)(2) prohibits falsified, misrepresented, or forged information contained
 in or accompanying in email headers.

57. Registration information for the domain names used to send spams is information contained in or accompanying email headers.

58. "[H]eader information in a commercial e-mail is falsified or misrepresented for purposes
of section 17529.5(a)(2) when it uses a sender domain name that *neither* identifies the actual
sender on its face *nor* is readily traceable to the sender using a publicly available online database
such as WHOIS." *Balsam*, 203 Cal. App. 4th at 1101 (emphasis in original).

The vast majority of the spams that Plaintiffs received advertising Defendants were sent
 from domain names that:

30

.

18

19

22

23

- Did not identify Defendants or the sender on their face, and
- 31

	• Were "proxy-registered" so as to not be readily traceable to the sender by
	querying the Whois database; or
	• Were "unregistered" so as to not be readily traceable to the sender by querying
	Whois database
	in violation of Section 17529.5. Balsam, 203 Cal. App. 4th at 1097-1101.
	60. Plaintiffs could not identify Defendants or its spamming affiliates who sent the spams
	issue by querying the Whois database for the domain names used to send almost all of the span
	at issue.
	D. Spams Containing a Third Party's Domain Name Without Permission Violate Busine
	<u>&amp; Professions Code § 17529.5(a)(1)</u>
	61. Section 17529.5(a)(1) prohibits spams containing or accompanied by a third party's
	domain name without the permission of the third party.
	62. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that at least 1 of the spams at iss
	in this Action contains a third parties' domain name without permission of the third party. The
	domain name is tripadvisor.com.
	63. Such unauthorized use of third parties' domain names is materially false and deceptive
	There can be no dispute that the spam was not sent from tripadvisor. Plaintiffs are informed a
	believe and thereon allege that the Defendants and/or their Marketing Partners forged the Send
	Email Address to include a domain name belonging to a legitimate third party business in order
	to:
	• Falsely lend an air of legitimacy to the spam by leveraging the brand equity of a
	legitimate advertiser, making the recipients believe that tripadvisor endorses the
	Defendants, and
	• Trick spam filters as to the source of the spams. If the Defendants and their
	Marketing Partners used their own domain names, it would be more likely that
$\ $	spam filters would be able to automatically identify the domain names as being
	associated with spammers, and block the spams. On the other hand, emails
	purportedly sent by tripadvisor.com are more likely to be treated as legitimate
	emails and not spams.
	64. Furthermore, assuming that these spams were <i>not</i> actually sent from the domain name
	that appears in the Sender Email Address, which Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereo
	18
	THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

allege to be the case, then the spam also contains falsified and forged information, which violates
 Section 17529.5(a)(2).

3 E. <u>DEFENDANTS are Strictly Liable for Spams Sent By their Marketing Partners</u>
4 65. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that CLICK, EDGE, ALTARE,
5 FAIRLIGHT and DOES 1-100 (collectively "CLICK DEFENDANTS") contracted with and
6 partnered with third party networks and publishers (the Marketing Partners), including but not
7 limited to the other Defendants, to advertise their websites for the purpose of selling products
8 and services for a profit.

66. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that the CLICK DEFENDANTS 9 and their Marketing Partners agreed to share the benefits and the risks of the marketing venture. 10 67. In some cases, the CLICK DEFENDANTS' Marketing Partners also advertised their 11 email marketing services in some of the emails at issue. Also, the CLICK DEFENDANTS' 12 Marketing Partners are advertised in many of the clickthrough links of the emails. Also, some of 13 them are advertised in the bodies of the emails themselves. 14 68. The CLICK DEFENDANTS and all of their Marketing Partners are strictly liable for 15

advertising in the spams at issue even if third parties hit the Send button. Bus. & Prof. Code
§ 17529(j)(k); *Hypertouch Inc. v. ValueClick Inc. et al* 192 Cal. App. 4th 805, 820-21 (2d Dist.
2011).

19 69. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendants formed a
20 conspiracy to advertise the CLICK DEFENDANTS' websites and their Marketing Partners'
21 email advertising services by virtue of signing the Marketing Contracts. Defendants operated the
22 conspiracy by sending and advertising in spams pursuant to the Marketing Contracts.

23 Defendants committed wrongful acts pursuant to the conspiracy by advertising in unlawful
24 spams, and Plaintiffs were damaged by receiving those unlawful spams.

70. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that the CLICK DEFENDANTS
may have provided some of the content (e.g. Subject Lines) to its Marketing Partners and its
Marketing Partners explicitly or tacitly agreed to use such content to send and advertise in
unlawful spams, and the CLICK DEFENDANTS' Marketing Partners directed themselves
towards those wrongful goals by using that content in the spams that were sent. But, to the
extent that the CLICK DEFENDANTS' Marketing Partners may have created certain false and
misrepresented elements of the spams (e.g. forging headers, using unregistered domain names,

1	etc.), the CLICK DEFENDANTS' Marketing Partners must be held liable for violations of
2	Section 17529.5 because such wrongful acts were committed in accordance with the general
3	conspiracy to advertise the CLICK DEFENDANTS' websites and the Marketing Partners'
4	services.
5	F. Plaintiffs Sue for Statutory Liquidated Damages; No Proof of Reliance or Actual
6	Damages is Necessary
7	71. The California Legislature defined liquidated damages to be \$1,000 per spam. Bus. &
8	Prof. Code § 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(ii).
9	72. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that the \$1,000 per spam figure is
10	comparable with damages in other areas of consumer protection law, e.g., \$500-\$1,500 statutory
11	damages per junk fax, pursuant to Business & Professions Code § 17538.43(b).
12	73. Plaintiffs' rightful and lawful demand for liquidated damages in the amount of \$1,000 per
13	email is necessary to further the California Legislature's objective of protecting California
14	residents from unlawful spam.
15	74. Section 17529.5 does not require Plaintiffs to quantify their actual damages, allege or
16	prove reliance on the advertisements contained in the spams, or purchase the goods and services
17	advertised in the spams. Recipients of unlawful spam have standing to sue and recover
18	liquidated damages. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17529.5(b)(1)(A)(iii); Hypertouch, 192 Cal. App. 4th
19	at 820, 822-23, 828.
20	75. However, Plaintiffs did suffer damages by receiving the unlawful spams advertising
21	Defendant's products and services in the state of California, at his California email addresses.
22	Bus. & Prof. Code § 17529(d), (e), (g), (h). Regardless, Plaintiffs do not seek actual damages in
23	this Action, only liquidated damages. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17529.5(b)(1)(B).
24	G. Defendants' Actions Were Willful and Preclude any Reduction in Statutory Damages
25	76. Section 17529.5 authorizes this Court to reduce the statutory damages to \$100 per spam.
26	Bus. & Prof. Code § 17529.5(b)(2). But, to secure the reduction, Defendants have the burden of
27	proof to demonstrate not only that <i>established</i> practices and procedures to prevent unlawful
28	spamming, but also that they <i>implemented</i> those practices and procedures, and that the practices
29	and procedures are effective.
30	77. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendants have not
31	established and implemented, with due care, practices and procedures reasonably designed to
51	

effectively prevent unsolicited commercial e-mail advertisements that are in violation of 1 2 Section 17529.5.

Even if Defendants had established any practices and procedures to prevent advertising in 3 78. 4 unlawful spam, such practices and procedures were not reasonably designed so as to be effective. 5 79. Even if Defendants reasonably designed practices and procedures to prevent advertising 6 in unlawful spam, such practices and procedures were not implemented so as to be effective. 7 80. Moreover, Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendants 8 intended to deceive recipients of their spam messages through the use of falsified and/or 9 misrepresented information in From Names and domain name registrations as described herein. 10 81. From Names do not write themselves. Domain names do not register themselves. The false and misrepresented information contained in and accompanying the email headers are not 11 12 "clerical errors." Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendants went to 13 great lengths to create falsified and misrepresented information contained in and accompanying the email headers in order to deceive recipients, Internet Service Providers, and spam filters. 14 Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that Defendants intended to profit, actually 15 profited, and continue to profit, and were unjustly enriched by, their wrongful conduct as 16 17 described herein. 18

### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

19

20

21

### [Violations of California Restrictions on Unsolicited Commercial Email, California Business & Professions Code § 17529.5] (All Plaintiffs Except FINK and NEILSON Against All Defendants)

82. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though set forth in full herein. 22 83. Plaintiffs ABEL, CHATFIELD, KEOUGH, and KIM received the spams at issue within 23 one year prior to filing the original Complaint in this matter. New Plaintiffs ANDERSON, 24 BABICH, BERLIN, DEBISE, FARRELL, GREENBERG, MEDINA, MONROE, OLIVERES, 25 and PHILLIPS received the spams at issue within one year prior to and including July 24, 2018. 26 84. Defendants advertised in, conspired to advertise in, sent, and/or caused to be sent at least 27 1040 unsolicited commercial email advertisements to Plaintiffs' California electronic mail 28 address that had materially falsified and/or misrepresented information contained in or 29 accompanying the email headers, in violation of Section 17529.5. The unlawful elements of 30 these spams represent willful acts of falsity and deception, rather than clerical errors. 31

1	85. The California Legislature set liquidated damages at One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) per
2	email.
3	86. Defendants have not established and implemented, with due care, practices and
4	procedures to effectively prevent advertising in unlawful spams that violate Section 17529.5 that
5	would entitle them to a reduction in statutory damages.
6	87. Plaintiffs seek reimbursement of attorneys' fees and costs as authorized by Section
7	17529.5(b)(1)(C).
8	88. The attorneys' fees provision for a prevailing spam recipient is typical of consumer
9	protection statutes and supported by Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5. By prosecuting this
10	action, Plaintiffs expect to enforce an important right affecting the public interest and thereby
11	confer a significant benefit on the general public or a large class of persons. The necessity and
12	financial burden of private enforcement is such as to make the award appropriate, and the
13	attorneys' fees should not, in the interest of justice, be paid out of the recovery of damages.
14	
15	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants as hereinafter set forth.
16	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
17	[Violations of California Restrictions on Unsolicited Commercial Email, California Business & Professions Code § 17529.5]
18	(FINK and NEILSON Against All Defendants Except ALTARE)
19 20	89. Plaintiffs FINK and NEILSON hereby incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though set
20 21	forth in full herein.
21 22	90. Plaintiffs FINK and NEILSON received the spams at issue within one year prior to filing
22	the original Complaint in this matter.
23	91. Defendants advertised in, conspired to advertise in, sent, and/or caused to be sent at least
25	149 unsolicited commercial email advertisements to Plaintiffs' FINK and NEILSON's California
26	electronic mail addresses that had materially falsified and/or misrepresented information
27	contained in or accompanying the email headers, in violation of Section 17529.5. The unlawful
28	elements of these spams represent willful acts of falsity and deception, rather than clerical errors.
29	92. The California Legislature set liquidated damages at One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) per
30	email.
31	
	22
	THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT

.

 $\|$ 

93.	Defendants have not	established and imp	lemented, with due	care, practices and
	procedures to effectively prevent advertising in unlawful spams that violate Section 17529.5 that			
11	ld entitle them to a reduc	-	-	
		•	-	and her Continu
94.		ursement of attorney	/s' lees and costs as	authorized by Section
5    1752	29.5(b)(1)(C).			
95.	The attorneys' fees p	rovision for a preva	iling spam recipient	is typical of consumer
prote	ection statutes and suppo	orted by Code of Civ	vil Procedure § 1021	.5. By prosecuting this
actic	on. Plaintiffs expect to en	nforce an important	right affecting the p	ublic interest and thereby
	, <b>,</b>	-		ersons. The necessity a
1	-			
[[	ncial burden of private en			
attor	meys' fees should not, in	the interest of justi	ce, be paid out of the	e recovery of damages.
2				
WH	EREFORE, Plaintiffs pr	ay for judgment aga	inst Defendants as h	ereinafter set forth.
			<u>OR RELIEF</u>	
		(Against All	Defendants)	
A.	An Order from this C	Court declaring that l	Defendants violated	California Business &
	Professions Code § 1	Professions Code § 17529.5 by advertising in and sending unlawful spams.		
				liawitti spains.
B.	Liquidated damages a	-		-
<b>B</b> .		against Defendants	in the amount of \$1,0	000 for each of at least 1
	unlawful spams, as a	against Defendants i uthorized by Sectior	in the amount of \$1,0	-
	unlawful spams, as an \$1,189,000 as set for	against Defendants i uthorized by Sectior	in the amount of \$1, n 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i	000 for each of at least 1
	unlawful spams, as a	against Defendants i uthorized by Sectior th below: DAMAGES	in the amount of \$1,0	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least DAMAGES
	unlawful spams, as an \$1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF	against Defendants i uthorized by Sectior th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT	in the amount of \$1, 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least DAMAGES SOUGHT
	unlawful spams, as an \$1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF ABEL	against Defendants in uthorized by Section th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT \$10,000	in the amount of \$1, n 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF FINK	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least DAMAGES SOUGHT \$83,000
	unlawful spams, as an \$1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF	against Defendants i uthorized by Sectior th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT	in the amount of \$1, 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least DAMAGES SOUGHT
	unlawful spams, as an S1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF ABEL CHATFIELD	against Defendants i uthorized by Section th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT \$10,000 \$119,000	in the amount of \$1, n 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF FINK KEOGH	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least DAMAGES SOUGHT \$83,000 \$26,000
	unlawful spams, as an S1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF ABEL CHATFIELD NEILSON	against Defendants i uthorized by Section th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT \$10,000 \$119,000 \$66,000	in the amount of \$1, 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF FINK KEOGH KIM	DAMAGES SOUGHT \$83,000 \$26,000 \$22,000
	unlawful spams, as an S1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF ABEL CHATFIELD NEILSON BABICH	against Defendants i uthorized by Section th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT \$10,000 \$119,000 \$66,000 \$92,000 \$81,000 \$97,000	in the amount of \$1, 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF FINK KEOGH KIM ANDERSON	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least <b>DAMAGES</b> <b>SOUGHT</b> \$83,000 \$26,000 \$22,000 \$105,000 \$31,000 \$21,000
	unlawful spams, as an S1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF ABEL CHATFIELD NEILSON BABICH DEBISE GREENBERG MONROE	against Defendants i uthorized by Section th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT \$10,000 \$119,000 \$66,000 \$92,000 \$81,000 \$97,000 \$30,000	in the amount of \$1, 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF FINK KEOGH KIM ANDERSON BERLIN FARRELL MEDINA	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least <b>DAMAGES</b> <b>SOUGHT</b> \$83,000 \$26,000 \$22,000 \$105,000 \$31,000 \$21,000 \$229,000
	unlawful spams, as an S1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF ABEL CHATFIELD NEILSON BABICH DEBISE GREENBERG	against Defendants i uthorized by Section th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT \$10,000 \$119,000 \$66,000 \$92,000 \$81,000 \$97,000	in the amount of \$1, 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF FINK KEOGH KIM ANDERSON BERLIN FARRELL MEDINA OLIVERES	DAMAGES           SOUGHT           \$83,000           \$26,000           \$105,000           \$21,000           \$229,000           \$76,000
	unlawful spams, as an S1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF ABEL CHATFIELD NEILSON BABICH DEBISE GREENBERG MONROE	against Defendants i uthorized by Section th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT \$10,000 \$119,000 \$66,000 \$92,000 \$81,000 \$97,000 \$30,000	in the amount of \$1, 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF FINK KEOGH KIM ANDERSON BERLIN FARRELL MEDINA	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least <b>DAMAGES</b> <b>SOUGHT</b> \$83,000 \$26,000 \$22,000 \$105,000 \$31,000 \$21,000 \$229,000
	unlawful spams, as an S1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF ABEL CHATFIELD NEILSON BABICH DEBISE GREENBERG MONROE PHILLIPS	against Defendants i uthorized by Section th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT \$10,000 \$119,000 \$66,000 \$92,000 \$81,000 \$97,000 \$30,000 \$101,000	in the amount of \$1, 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF FINK KEOGH KIM ANDERSON BERLIN FARRELL MEDINA OLIVERES TOTAL	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least <b>DAMAGES</b> <b>SOUGHT</b> \$83,000 \$26,000 \$22,000 \$105,000 \$31,000 \$21,000 \$229,000 \$76,000 <b>\$1,189,000</b>
	unlawful spams, as an S1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF ABEL CHATFIELD NEILSON BABICH DEBISE GREENBERG MONROE PHILLIPS Liquidated damages a	against Defendants i uthorized by Section th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT \$10,000 \$119,000 \$66,000 \$92,000 \$81,000 \$97,000 \$30,000 \$101,000 against CLICK in th	in the amount of \$1, 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF FINK KEOGH KIM ANDERSON BERLIN FARRELL MEDINA OLIVERES TOTAL e amount of \$1,189,	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least <b>DAMAGES</b> <b>SOUGHT</b> \$83,000 \$26,000 \$22,000 \$105,000 \$31,000 \$21,000 \$229,000 \$76,000 <b>\$1,189,000</b> 000 based on 1,1189 spa
	unlawful spams, as an S1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF ABEL CHATFIELD NEILSON BABICH DEBISE GREENBERG MONROE PHILLIPS Liquidated damages a	against Defendants i uthorized by Section th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT \$10,000 \$119,000 \$66,000 \$92,000 \$81,000 \$97,000 \$30,000 \$101,000 against CLICK in th	in the amount of \$1, 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF FINK KEOGH KIM ANDERSON BERLIN FARRELL MEDINA OLIVERES TOTAL e amount of \$1,189,	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least <b>DAMAGES</b> <b>SOUGHT</b> \$83,000 \$26,000 \$22,000 \$105,000 \$31,000 \$21,000 \$229,000 \$76,000 <b>\$1,189,000</b>
	unlawful spams, as an S1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF ABEL CHATFIELD NEILSON BABICH DEBISE GREENBERG MONROE PHILLIPS Liquidated damages a	against Defendants i uthorized by Section th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT \$10,000 \$119,000 \$66,000 \$92,000 \$81,000 \$97,000 \$30,000 \$101,000 against CLICK in the ers to send, or otherw	in the amount of \$1, in 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF FINK KEOGH KIM ANDERSON BERLIN FARRELL MEDINA OLIVERES TOTAL e amount of \$1,189, wise conspired with	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least <b>DAMAGES</b> <b>SOUGHT</b> \$83,000 \$26,000 \$22,000 \$105,000 \$31,000 \$21,000 \$229,000 \$76,000 <b>\$1,189,000</b> 000 based on 1,1189 spa
	unlawful spams, as an S1,189,000 as set for PLAINTIFF ABEL CHATFIELD NEILSON BABICH DEBISE GREENBERG MONROE PHILLIPS Liquidated damages a	against Defendants i uthorized by Section th below: DAMAGES SOUGHT \$10,000 \$119,000 \$66,000 \$92,000 \$81,000 \$97,000 \$30,000 \$101,000 \$101,000 2 against CLICK in there ers to send, or otherw	in the amount of \$1, 17529.5(b)(1)(B)(i PLAINTIFF FINK KEOGH KIM ANDERSON BERLIN FARRELL MEDINA OLIVERES TOTAL e amount of \$1,189,	000 for each of at least 1 i), for a total of at least <b>DAMAGES</b> <b>SOUGHT</b> \$83,000 \$26,000 \$22,000 \$105,000 \$31,000 \$21,000 \$229,000 \$76,000 <b>\$1,189,000</b> 000 based on 1,1189 spa

1	D.	Liquidated damages against EDGE in the amount of \$1,189,000 based on 1,189 spams
2		that it sent, hired others to send, or otherwise conspired with others to send, to Plaintiffs.
3	E.	Liquidated damages against FAIRLIGHT in the amount of \$1,189,000 based on 1,189
4		spams that it sent, hired others to send, or otherwise conspired with others to send, to
5		Plaintiffs.
6		Liquidated damages against ALTARE in the amount of \$1,040,000 based on 1,040 spams
7		that it sent, hired others to send, or otherwise conspired with others to send, to Plaintiffs
8		(besides FINK and NEILSON).
9	F.	Liquidated damages against BESTMAILER in the amount of at least \$2,000 based on 2
10		spams that it sent, hired others to send, or otherwise conspired with others to send, to
11		FINK.
12	G.	Liquidated damages against FLYLEAF in the amount of at least \$1,000 based on 1 spam
13		that it sent, hired others to send, or otherwise conspired with others to send, to FINK.
14	Н.	Liquidated damages against DOES 1-1,000 (when their true names are learned) in the
15		amount of \$1,189,000 based on 1,189 spams that it sent, hired others to send, or
16		otherwise conspired with others to send, to Plaintiffs.
17	1.	Attorneys' fees as authorized by Section 17529.5(b)(1)(C) and Code of Civil Procedure
18		§ 1021.5 for violations of Section 17529.5.
19	J.	Costs of suit.
20	K.	Such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.
21		
22		
23		THE LAW OFFICES OF JACOB HARKER
24		
25		
26	Date:_	July 24, 2018 BY:
27		JACOB HARKER Attorneys for Plaintiffs
28		
29		
30		
31		
		24 Third Amended Complaint

### **EXHIBIT 1**

https://us.mg4.mail.yahoo.com/neo/launch?.rand=c0d60j1qscufs#450137492

Subject: ADULT CONTENT: Customer, meet the wind of changes

From: YourX News (info@yourxnews.com)

To: jaystheone44@yahoc.com;

Date: Wednesday, January 27, 2016 1:45 AM

CHANGE ALL AT ONCE



If you watch this video, your life would not be the same. Girls will react differently,

2/28/2016 6:30 PM

Print

1 of 2

and, of course, all is gonna change for the best :)



## More sites for you:



Your X News • 1580 Crainer Avenue • Jacksonville, FL 32202 http://vourxnews.com/

Subscribe • Preferences • Send to a Friend • Unsubscribe • Report Sparn
Powered by MyNewsletterBuilder

