California Home Sunday, December 11, 2005







CALIFORNIA'S INVESTMENT & FINANCING AUTHORITY - ESTABLISHED 1913



News Release 04-23 Corporations Home

About the Department

**Meet the Commissioner** 

**Historical Background** 

**Press Room** 

**Executive Office** 

Office of Public Affairs

Office of Management & Budget

Office of Law & Legislation

Securities Regulation Division

Financial Services
Division

**Enforcement Division** 

California Industrial
Development Financing
Advisory Commission

Contact Us!

Contact the <u>Webmaster</u> if you have questions or comments concerning this

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, Governor

WILLIAM P. WOOD, Commissioner

Contact: Shad Balch 916-324-9011

# Department Blocks Women's Health Club Chain from Selling Illegal Franchises; Rejects its Application due to Applicant's Hidden Criminal Record

Health Club Applicant with History of Telemarketing and Financial Fraud Convictions Tried to Push Illegal Franchise Investments for Chain of Women's Fitness Centers in California

**Sacramento, June 23, 2004 - -**The Department of Corporations ordered the directors of a women's fitness center operating in Southern California to stop illegally offering to sell club franchises that were never approved by the Department of Corporations as required by law, the Department announced today.

An investigation headed by Department counsel Karen Patterson revealed that since February 2004, Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. offered franchises to numerous California residents even though the offer of the franchises had not been registered with the Department, as required under the California Franchise Investment Law. The Department issued a <u>Desist and Refrain Order</u> on June 18, 2004 demanding that the club directors immediately stop offering the illegal franchises in California. The Department is aware of at least 17 investors who have purchased Why Weight franchises since October 2004 in at least eleven other states, including Idaho, Georgia, North Carolina, New Jersey, New Mexico, Texas, Colorado, Nevada, North Carolina, Michigan, and Ohio.

Additionally, the Department <u>rejected</u> entirely the franchise registration application from the club founders after discovering that one principal, Matthew Craig Rubin, failed to disclose his criminal record - a 1994 US Central District Court conviction on five felony counts of mail fraud and a 2002 judgement liability from the same court ordering Rubin to pay \$16.5 million in restitution for victims in a separate telemarketing scheme. Ivan Bondy, the other principal named on the application, also failed to disclose a 1997 bankruptcy.

site.

"This pair has a reputation for trying to mislead and lure the public into shady investment schemes," said <u>Corporations Commissioner William P. Wood</u>. "They have lost their right to engage in this type of business. The Department's action in this matter will stop their illegal activity and will also send a strong alert to investors."

The Department of Corporations is California's Investment and Financing Authority. The Department is responsible for the regulation, enforcement and licensing of securities, franchises, off-exchange commodities, investment and financial services, independent escrows, consumer and commercial finance lending and residential mortgage lending. For further information or to obtain a complaint form, please visit the Department's web site at <a href="https://www.corp.ca.gov">www.corp.ca.gov</a> or call 1-866-ASK-CORP (1-866-275-2677).

Securities • Franchises • Off-Exchange Commodities • Investment and Financial Services •
 Independent Escrows • Consumer and Commercial Finance Lending • Residential Mortgage Lending •

Documents labeled "(pdf)" require Adobe Acrobat Reader. A current version of Acrobat is available from Adobe's web site

Back to Top of Page

© 2005 State of California. Conditions of Use Privacy Policy Disclaimer Customer Survey

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY

### **DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS**

TO: Matthew Craig Rubin
Ivan Bondy
Mark Haining
Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc.
5959 West Century Boulevard, Suite 510
Los Angeles, California 90045-6527

File No. 993-5092

#### **DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER**

(For violations of sections 31110 and 31201 of the Corporations Code)

The California Corporations Commissioner finds that:

1. Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. is a California corporation that was formed on September 12, 2003. Its principal place of business is 5959 West Century Boulevard, Suite 510, Los Angeles, California 90045-6527. The company maintains a website at www.whyweightinc.com. In October 2003 Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. began offering franchises for the operation of fitness and nutrition centers emphasizing a 30-minute circuit exercise program. On October 14, 2003 the company filed an application pursuant to Corporations Code section 31111 for registration of an offer to sell franchises under the name Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. in California.

- 2. The offer of franchises by Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. in California has not been registered under the Franchise Investment Law and is not exempted under Chapter 1, beginning with Corporations Code section 31100, of that law.
- 3. Matthew Craig Rubin was one of the founders of Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. and at all times from the date of its creation to at least April 9, 2004 acted as one of its principal officers and directors in fact, exercising management responsibility and control with regard to all facets of its operations, including the franchises offered by the company.
- 4. Ivan Bondy was one of the founders of Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. and has been one of its principal officers and directors in fact from the date of its creation to the present, exercising management responsibility and control with regard to all facets of its operations, including the franchises offered by the company.
- 5. Mark Haining engages in the offer and sale of franchises for Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc., and also supervises the activities of other company salespeople. He reportedly joined Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. in November 2003 as Vice President of Franchise Development.
- 6. During a period extending from at least February of 2004 through April of 2004, Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. has been actively offering franchises to California residents even though the offer has not been registered under the Franchise Investment Law. Employees of Why Weight including Matthew Craig Rubin and Mark Haining, operating with the knowledge and approval of Ivan Bondy, have told California residents that they could sign up in advance to become franchisees as soon as the company's application was approved, and that they could then pay their franchise fees of approximately \$28,500 immediately, without waiting the ten business-day period specified by Corporations Code section 31119 because no one complies with that legal requirement.

Prospective California franchisees have been told falsely by Matthew Craig Rubin and Mark Haining that registration was going to be taking place in California soon, within a matter of days. At least one prospective California franchisee was even instructed by Matthew Craig Rubin and Mark Haining to attend training during April of 2004, but to tell franchisees from other states that she was a manager rather than a prospective franchisee, in order to prevent the other out-of-state franchisees at the training session from learning that the company was making franchise offers to California residents without being registered to sell franchises in California.

7. In fact, approval of the registration application of Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. has never been imminent, no one has ever been authorized by the Department of Corporations to offer Why Weight Women's Total Fitness franchises in California, and the requirement set forth in Corporations Code section 31119 applies to and is binding upon all California franchise offerors.

Based upon the foregoing findings, the California Corporations Commissioner is of the opinion that Matthew Craig Rubin, Mark Haining, Ivan Bondy and Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc., have offered franchises in California that were subject to registration under the Franchise Investment Law without the offers first being registered, in violation of Corporations Code section 31110. The California Corporations Commissioner is of the further opinion that Matthew Craig Rubin, Ivan Bondy, Mark Haining and Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. have offered franchises in California by means of communications which included untrue statements of material fact and which omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, in violation of Corporations Code section 31201. Pursuant to section 31402 of the Corporations Code, Matthew Craig Rubin, Ivan Bondy, Mark Haining and Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. are hereby ordered to desist and refrain from the further offer of Why Weight Women's Total Fitness franchises unless and until the offers have been duly registered under the Franchise Investment Law.

DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER

1	This Order is necessary, in the public interest, for the protection of investors and consistent
2	with the purposes, policies and provisions of the Franchise Investment Law.
3	
4	Dated: June 18, 2004
5	Los Angeles, California
6	WILLIAM P. WOOD
7	California Corporations Commissioner
8	
9	By
10	ALAN WEINGER
11	Supervising Counsel Enforcement and Legal Services
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

### **BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY**

### DEPARTMENT OF CORPORA'TIONS

File No. 993-5092

4

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

TO: Matthew Craig Rubin

Ivan Bondy

Why Weight Womer's Total Fitness, Inc. 5959 West Century Houlevard, Suite 510

Los Angeles, California 90045-6527

ORDER DENYING EFFECTIVENESS OF FRANCHISE REGISTRATION APPLICATION

(Corporations Code section 31115)

The application for registration of the offer and sale of franchises filed by Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. on October 14, 2003 is hereby denied until further order of the California Corporations Commissioner.

Dated: June 17, 2004

Los Angeles, California

WILLIAM P. WOOD. California Corporations Commissioner

Supervising Counséi Enforcement and Legal Services Division

ORDER DENYING EFFECTIVENESS OF FRANCHISE REGISTRATION APPLICATION

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS

TO: Matthew Craig Rubin
Ivan Bondy
Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc.
5959 West Century Boulevard, Suite 510
Los Angeles, California 90045-6527

File No. 993-5092

### STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER DENYING EFFECTIVENESS OF FRANCHISE REGISTRATION APPLICATION

(Corporations Code section 31117)

The California Corporations Commissioner finds that:

- 1. Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. is a California corporation that was formed on September 12, 2003. Its principal place of business is 5959 West Century Boulevard, Suite 510, Los Angeles, California 90045-6527. In October 2003 Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. began offering franchises for the operation of fitness and nutrition centers emphasizing a 30-minute circuit exercise program. The company maintains a website at www.whyweightinc.com.
- 2. Matthew Craig Rubin was one of the founders of Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. and at all times from the date of its creation to at least April 9, 2004 acted as one of its principal officers and directors in fact, exercising management responsibility and control with regard to all facets of its operations, including the franchises offered by the company.

- 3. On or about February 10, 1994, judgment was entered against Matthew Craig Rubin in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, *United States of America v. Andrew David Rubin, aka Mark Roth, and Matthew Craig Rubin, aka Simon Stern,* Case No. CR 93-536-RMT, following his guilty plea to five felony counts of mail fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1341. The convictions related to a scheme to defraud operated by Mathew Craig Rubin and his brother Andrew David Rubin, holding themselves out as loan brokers under the business name United Financial Services. Pursuant to that scheme the Rubins charged "application fees" of \$99 to approximately 1,100 customers and then misappropriated the fees without making or brokering loans. The Rubins also failed to pay over \$120,000 for radio advertising they used to promote the scheme resulting in a total loss from the scheme to defraud in an amount exceeding \$230,000.
- 4. On or about July 19, 2002, judgment was entered against Matthew Craig Rubin in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, *FTC v. Medicor LLC, et al.*, Case No. CV 01-1896 CBM, FTC File No. 002 3356. The judgment was the result of a lawsuit filed by the Federal Trade Commission in March 2001 against defendants including Matthew Craig Rubin, his brother Andrew David Rubin, and Medicor, LLC. In its lawsuit the Federal Trade Commission proved that the Rubins had engaged in a fraudulent telemarketing scheme whereby they deceptively sold work-at-home medical billing opportunities to more than 40,000 people. In the judgment, Matthew Craig Rubin was permanently enjoined from engaging in such activities in the future and ordered to pay more than \$16.5 million in restitution for the benefit of consumers who were victims of the fraudulent scheme.
- 6. Ivan Bondy was one of the founders of Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. and has been one of its principal officers and directors in fact from the date of its creation to the present, exercising management responsibility and control with regard to all facets of its operations, including the franchises offered by the company. Ivan Bondy filed a bankruptcy petition in January 3, 1997. His debts were discharged by order dated April 21, 1997.

- 7. On or about October 14, 2003, Matthew Craig Rubin and Ivan Bondy caused Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. to file an application pursuant to Corporations Code section 31111 for registration of an offer to sell franchises under the name Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. in California. In violation of the requirements of the Franchise Investment Law, its implementing regulations and the Uniform Franchise Offering Circular Guidelines, the application failed to identify Matthew Craig Rubin and Ivan Bondy as principal officers and executives of the company with direct management responsibility relating to marketing and servicing the franchises the company proposed to offer in California. The application further failed to disclose required information concerning Matthew Craig Rubin's past employment, conviction, and judgment liability. The application also failed to disclose Ivan Bondy's 1997 bankruptcy.
- 8. After the Department of Corporations brought some of the omissions to the attention of Why Weight Total Women's Fitness, Inc., it filed an amended application on January 13, 2004. In that amendment the company identified Ivan Bondy as its President, Secretary and Director and disclosed his prior bankruptcy. The company also identified Matthew Craig Rubin as the company's Advertising and Operational Administrator and a "significant employee" and further disclosed the 2002 civil judgment against him. The amended application still failed to disclose the 1994 felony conviction of Matthew Craig Rubin and further failed to report accurately Matthew Craig Rubin's true role as one of the principals and managers of the company.
- 9. On or about February 23 and March 24, 2004, Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. filed amendments to its application which deleted all references to Ivan Bondy's involvement in the company, even though Bondy remained one of the persons in control of the company and its operations.
- 10. During the period of approximately February to April, 2004, Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. offered Why Weight Women's Total Fitness franchises to numerous California residents even though the offer of the franchises had not been registered pursuant to the Franchise

Investment Law. On April 21, 2004 such offers were made at a so-called Discovery Day seminar the company held at the Crowne Plaza Hotel at 5986 West Century Boulevard in Los Angeles.

11. On April 6, 2004, the Department of Corporations contacted Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. concerning its failure to disclose the prior criminal conviction of Matthew Craig Rubin and its failure to disclose that Matthew Craig Rubin and his brother Andrew David Rubin were operating a new company they had created called Cosmetica Consulting Group from the premises of Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. In response, the company's counsel sent a communication to the Department of Corporations on April 12, 2004 which stated in pertinent part:

"I have just spoken with, Mr. Bondy, the majority shareholder of Why Weight regarding the Department's most recent query re: Mr. Rubin and Cosmetica. In light of this and some additional information learned by Mr. Bondy recently, Mr. Bondy has requested the resignation of Mr. Rubin effective Friday, April 9, 2004 and Mr. Rubin has agreed to resign. He will no longer have any responsibilities at Why Weight and accordingly will be deleted from Item 2 of the Why Weight UFOC. Mr. Bondy will be resuming some operational responsibilities on what he hopes will be a temporary basis until all matters are resolved and to determine who, if anyone, will be replacing Mr. Rubin."

Based upon the foregoing findings, the California Corporations Commissioner is of the opinion that at all times prior to and up to at least April 9, 2004 Matthew Craig Rubin was an officer and director in fact of Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. The California Corporations Commissioner is of the further opinion that Matthew Craig Rubin was convicted of felonies in 1994 and was held liable by final judgment in a civil action involving fraud in 2002 within the meaning of Corporations Code section 31115(d)(1). The California Corporations Commissioner is also of the opinion that Matthew Craig Rubin is subject to a currently effective injunctive or restrictive order relating to business activity as a result of an action brought by a public agency within the meaning of Corporations Code section 31115(d)(4). The California Corporations Commissioner is of the further opinion that the involvement of Matthew Craig Rubin in Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc.

has created an unreasonable risk to prospective franchisees within the meaning of Corporations Code section 31115(d).

The California Corporations Commissioner is also of the opinion that Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. failed to comply with the Franchise Investment Law, specifically Corporations Code sections 31200 and 31114, and with the rules of the Commissioner pertaining to that law, specifically Title 10, sections 310.111 and 310.114.1 of the California Code of Regulations, when it failed to identify Matthew Craig Rubin and Ivan Bondy as two of its officers and directors in its franchise application filed on October 14, 2003, and when it failed to provide required disclosures about them in the franchise application filed on October 14, 2003 and in the amendments to its application filed on January 13, 2004, February 23, 2004 and March 24, 2004.

In addition, the California Corporations Commissioner is of the opinion that Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. offered franchises in California without the offer having been registered under the Franchise Investment Law, in violation of Corporations Code section 31110.

Notwithstanding Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc.'s claim that Matthew Craig
Rubin is no longer associated with the company, the California Corporations Commissioner is of the
opinion that Ivan Bondy remains the company's majority shareholder and one of the individuals, if
not the sole individual, in a position to manage and control the operations of the company. Ivan
Bondy was at all times aware of and one of the people responsible for the misrepresentations and
omissions in the documents that were filed by Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc. with the
Department of Corporations. Ivan Bondy has also had knowledge that Why Weight Women's Total
Fitness, Inc. was offering franchises to California residents even though the offer was not registered
pursuant to the Franchise Investment Law and failed to take appropriate action to halt the practice.

For these reasons, the California Corporations Commissioner has determined that an order should be issued pursuant to Corporations Code section 31115(a) and (b) to deny the effectiveness of

$\Lambda$
the franchise application of Why Weight Women's Total Fitness, Inc., on the ground that there has
been a failure to comply with sections 31110, 31200 and 31114 of the California Corporations Code
and Title 10, sections 310.111 and 310.114.1 of the California Code of Regulations and on the further
ground that the offer of sale of Why Weight Women's Total Fitness franchises in California would
constitute misrepresentation, deceit and fraud of the purchasers.
Dated: June 17, 2004
Los Angeles, California
WILLIAM P. WOOD
California Corporations Commissioner
By KAREN L. PATTERSON
Senior Corporations Counsel Enforcement and Legal Services Division
Emoreement and Legar services Division